VERBA SCÁTHAIGE

 ${
m T}^{
m HE~SINGLE-HANDED}$ defence of Ulster by Cú Chulainn while the Ulstermen lie sick calls for a tactic of delaying action, the chief form of which is the fight or duel at the ford. This also provides the best vantage point for the narrator of saga interested in depicting heroic encounter. The problem is to find adversaries worthy of Cú Chulainn's steel, how to level others up to him. The ingenious solution was to pose a school of military training at which the flower of the Irish warrior youth learned their trade as companions-in-arms. From the conflict of friendship and loyalty with cupidity and treachery dramatic tension would flow; for the pupils of Scathach were foster-brothers. In Recension I of Táin Bó Cúailnge Fer Báeth is beguiled by Medb and Ailill with praise and promises, and on hearing of this Cú Chulainn thinks that he himself will fall at the hands of one who is his equal in age, speed and weight. But Fer Báeth is killed when he comes to renounce his friendship and before the duel can take place. The late Fer Diad episode utilizes the same motif and the Macgnimartha/Maccerda one tries to accommodate it. Here Cú Chulainn is said to have learned warfare from Scáthach in his sixth year and the Yellow Book of Lecan adds that he courted Emer in this year also; which appears a trifle precocious even for Cú Chulainn. The reconciling of biographical detail from different sources is obviously giving trouble here.

The uncanny phantom background of Scáthach is used to good purpose when she is made foretell what is in store for the hero during the Táin. The resulting Verba Scáthaige offer a cryptic view of the Táin down to the battle between the two bulls. It represents the first telling of the saga available to us and the near certainty that the text was included in the early eighth-century Cin Dromma Snechta would indicate that by this time the saga was known in a complete form.

The text is extant in two versions: Version A, an original version found in four manuscripts: Oxford, Bodleian Library, Rawlinson B 512, of the 14th-15th centuries, f. 118 b 2 (R); British Library Egerton 1782, written c.1517, f. 19 b 1 (E²); Egerton 88, written 1564, f. 11 a 2 (E¹); Royal Irish Academy 23 N 10, compiled 1575, p. 68 (N). The four copies are independent of one another. Version B, an expanded version included in the saga Tochmarc Emire; the following five copies are extant: Lebor na hUidre 125 b - 126 a (before 1106); Royal Ir. Acad. D iv 2 (15th century?), f. 77 v; British Library Harleian 5280 (16th century), f. 34 a 1-2; Book of Fermoy (15th century) p. 212; 23 N 10, pp. 26, 125.

¹Copy in Trinity College, Dublin, MS 1287 (H.1.13), p. 360 (18th century).

 $^{^2\}mathrm{N}$ was published without translation by R. Thurneysen, 'Verba Scáthaige nach 23.N.10', Zeitschrift für celtische Philologie 9 (1913) 487–8. A transcript of R, collated with E^1 and E^2 , was published (without translation) by K. Meyer in Anecdota from Irish manuscripts V (Halle and Dublin 1913) 28–30.

In seeking to trace the contents of the lost Cín Dromma Snechta, a manuscript which was probably written down in the early eighth century, Thurneysen pointed to certain similarities between the manuscripts Egerton 88 and 23 N 10 in respect of texts dealing chiefly with heroic material. Eg. 88 was copied by Donall O'Davoren in 1564 from a selection (as he says) of the best of the texts in the Cín Dromma Snechta made by Gilla Commáin O Congaláin (ob. 1135). Thurneysen notes that for three items in Egerton 88, of which two are found in 23 N 10, direct evidence of provenance in Cín Dromma Snechta is available. Eight other items common to these two manuscripts belong to the linguistically oldest stratum of Irish literature; they are associated with other texts of great antiquity in several manuscripts and it would appear entirely likely that these ten texts, of which Verba Scáthaige is one, derive from the Cín Dromma Snechta.

Generally speaking, the ancient text has been transmitted with considerable fidelity in the four manuscripts of Version A, the difference between them being chiefly a matter of spellings or of sporadic additions and omissions. The best manuscripts appear to be Egerton 1782 and Rawlinson B 512, in that order; for instance, where E2 has baigthi Medb, R reads ba grithi medba (v. 28). MS 23 N 10 omits dia foirciund of the other three manuscripts at the end of the prose and adds ollgabadh in v. 29; with Egerton 88 it adds cen colinn in v. 20 in agreement with the B group: E1 fort coin cul- cen colinn, N for coin cul- cen colainn, LU 103853 ar Coin Culaind cencolind appear to show a gradual modernisation of text. Cen colainn literally 'without a body', apparently means 'dead'; cf. i colainn 'alive'. A reference to the mutilation of the dead Cú Chulainn would be in keeping with the account in Brislech Mór Maige Murthemni where the dead hero's head and arm are brought to Tara and buried there (LL 14057-64)⁴. Common to E¹ and N is the reading silfis for sifis in v. 15. LU has sifis which is glossed by selfa, and another of the B manuscripts, D iv 2, reads selfa. Silid 'drips, causes to flow' is originally a strong verb with reduplicated future. But the most substantial evidence of modernisation occurs at vv. 14-15 where E¹ and LU read as follows: E¹ Ba hoin fri sluagh sirechtach sir dochr- sir deimin sir duba; LU Ba hoín ar slóg (v.l. arlog, D iv 2) sírrechtach/sírdochair sirdemin. sírguba (sirduba, D iv 2). LU may be translated 'You will be alone before the host, afflicted by constant misfortune and in constant unfailing lamentation'.

In LU, the outstanding exponent of Version B, the poem is expanded to eighty-one verses, with six added at the beginning, twenty-nine at the end and the rest interspersed. But the original thirty-two verses are included in the proper order with the usual slight alterations of form, the only further substantial differences being in vv. 17 and 22-3; where

³R. I. Best and O. Bergin, Lebor na h Uidre (Dublin 1929) 314.

⁴R. I. Best and M. A. O'Brien, The Book of Leinster II (Dublin 1956) 450.

for v. 17 cuan dia lilis loscannaib, LU has gáetar lunni loscudi; for v. 22 dal de dalaib dedarbe, LU has ana doláth tetharbae; and for v. 23 dedirn brodirc brisfither, LU has dideirn bródeirg brufitir (discussed in the notes infra).

The other copies of Version B have what is essentially the same text as LU, in part well preserved, in part a mixture of idiosyncratic spelling (Harl. 5280) and modernised or corrupt forms.

The facts concerning the state of the manuscripts adduced above suggest that in spite of the associations established for MSS E¹ and N, they sometimes offer less reliable and more modernised readings, as indeed might be expected of sixteenth-century manuscripts. On the other hand, although the LU version is the later one, we sometimes get the more reliable readings in this early twelfth-century manuscript.

The transmission of Version B is bound up with that of Tochmarc Emire. According to Thurneysen's analysis, this saga was probably composed and written down in the eighth century. The first part of it was re-fashioned in the early eleventh century and is now found in this form (Version I) in LU 121-2. The middle part of Version I is now lost, but the end of it from § 55,6 is contained in Rawl. B 512, f. 117 a. The second part of the saga was re-fashioned and expanded in the early part of the twelfth century (Version II) but is no longer extant; it is implied in the frequent references in Version III to alternative sources of the story. Version III was compiled shortly after Version II in an effort to reconcile it with the divergent presentation of Version I. Complete copies of it are found in D iv 2, 23 N 10, and Harl. 5280.

As a motive for the composition of Version II Thurneysen suggests the urge of a story-teller to provide worthy foemen for Cú Chulainn in the Táin. Originally, and in Version I, the hero was alone with Scáthach, which left him unparalleled in arms. So in $Tochmarc\ Emire\ \S\ 67$, which is based upon Version II, Cú Chulainn arrives at Scáthach's school for young warriors to find not only Fer Báeth and Fer Diad there, but also Lugaid and Luan, sons of the redoubtable Lóch, a Lárine not mentioned in the Táin, and an otherwise unknown Drūst, according to the list in § 80.

The expansion of Verba Scáthaige can be traced to some extent in the manuscripts. In Version I Scáthach is said to communicate her Verba to the wounded Cú Chulainn, 7 asmbert si friss indni aridmbui iar tichtain hErend co n-epert si indni Scathach: Aritossa ollgabad 7 rl. atá isind libar 'and she told him what was in store for him after coming to Ireland, saying Aritossa ollgabad etc., which is in the book'. Here

⁵Die irische Helden- und Königsage (Halle 1921) 377-95.

⁶This is according to K. Meyer's numbering in his edition of *Tochmarc Emire* in *ZCP* 3 (1901) 229-63, p. 245.

⁷For details, see Thurneysen, Heldensage, 378.

⁸From Rawl. B 512, ff. 117 a 1 - 118 a 2, edited by K. Meyer, 'The oldest version of Tochmarc Emire', *Revue Celtique* 11 (1890) 433-57, p. 452 lines 142-4.

she quotes v. 2 of the original version, which happens to be more to the point than v. 1. The poem then follows immediately upon *Tochmarc Emire* in Rawl. B 512, f. 118 b, to provide one of the four copies of Version A.

Our poem is included in the corresponding place in Version III⁹ but in the expanded form beginning foceun, a sciath bvaidnige, which is not found in Version I. The compiler uses the same late opening in § 71, where he points out that in certain other recensions (slechta) the poem is brought in at this particular point after Cú Chulainn had slept with Scáthach upon the strand. The inference here is that the compiler of Version III merely uses a version (II) of the poem ready to his hand and is not himself responsible for any of the modifications evident to us in a comparison with Version I.

According to Thurneysen, the expanded Verba Scáthaige belongs to a Version II redacted in the early twelfth century (Heldensage 379-82). That the poem can have been an ad hoc redaction of such late date is extremely unlikely. The expanded poem may more plausibly be related to a live oral tradition which, particularly in the earlier centuries, stimulated the extended treatment of a theme which continued to excite poet and patron. In Tochmarc Emire there is frequent reference to 'other versions, other traditions', as for instance in §§ 67 and 71. One of these must have been a floating oral version of the poem independent of the recorded one. The problem is highlighted by one particular anomaly of transmission: in all four copies of Version A the poem terminates with At-chīu fīrfēth Finnbennach / $ar{Ai}$ fri Donn Cuailnge ardbúrach (cf. edition infra). We point out below that the last verse has at least one stress too many. It also lacks the regular alliterative link with the preceding verse and the similar link between final and preceding word. Since, however, the four primary witnesses record it so, it belonged more than likely to their ultimate source, Cin Dromma Snechta. If we accept this as an ultimate answer, we may seek to improve the line by reading *Aifri Donn Cúailinge and take ardbúrach as an addition at an early state to obtain dúnad of a kind with v. 1. But the 'addition' does nothing to supply the structural alliteration between final and preceding word.

When we turn to Version B we note that all five copies omit Ai from the last line, thereby restoring at least the three-stress norm as well as linking alliteration. Hence it seems much more likely that the place-name Ai is an intruder on the pattern of the place-name Cuailnge than that it belonged to the original poem, to disturb its structure unnecessarily. The original poem was of course oral, not written. If this reasoning proves persuasive, it can show that, even in a manuscript as old as the Cin Dromma Snechta, written text may not be perfect and that the oral tradition can retain its importance for establishing it.

 $^{^9\}mathrm{Edited}$ from Harl. 5280 by K. Meyer, 'Tochmarc Emire la Coinculaind', ZCP 3 (1901) 229-63, pp. 255-8 \$ 79.

STRUCTURE, LANGUAGE, AND EDITION

The poem is composed in verses with trisyllabic (dactylic) cadence, the exceptions being vv. 8, 20, and 29. The metre tends to adjust this in v. 8 faeburamnus and v. 29 otharlige by weakening the second syllable further. 10 To v. 20 we shall return. As a rule there are three stresses to the verse, but one of them can be weakened or suppressed, as in vv. 1, 2, 4-5. The last verse (32) has at least four stresses. The verse cadence, then, appears to be a more compelling criterion than the accentuation or stressing. One other criterion also appears vital, namely alliteration between cadence word and the preceding word. It is absent in vv. 28 and 32 only, and these appear exceptional: sceu 'and' is the preceding word in v. 28, and v. 32 is in any case irregular. In v. 18 the final ildamaib appears to alliterate with the stressed vowel of di-fedat. Hence the alliteration is on the whole rigidly observed, and for good reason. It is fir-uaimm, the 'true stitching' which builds a verse by adding an alliterating cadence to a (two-stress) nucleus. As nearly all the verses are clearly heptasyllabic (vv. 2-5, 9-11, 13-19, 21-6, 28, 30-31), the remainder need to be examined, not least for the clues which their structure may provide for their interpretation. Five of these verses are one syllable short, which can be supplied when hiatus forms are applied as follows: v. 1 (-beë: MSS -be), v. 6 (bied), v. 7 (cruach: three MSS cruoch, one MS cruo), v. 12 (triän: MS trean, tren), v. 27 (biët). In v. 12 the precedence of trian over tren on metrical grounds is justified also on the semantic: trén 'strong, etc.' could contribute little to the sense of the verse, as against trian 'third (of an army); army'. The only other defective line which calls for comment is v. 20. MSS E1, N, and the LU group add cen colinn. This we do not believe to be original, since it can hardly be reconciled with what follows in v. 21. Cú Chulainn cen colinn appears to be a word-play in which the preposition cen may well attract a certain contrastive stress. It is unlikely that the phrase cèn colinn could pass muster as a trisyllabic cadence. A pentasyllabic verse commonly ending in a stressed monosyllable may alternate with or conclude a series of heptasyllabic verses, or it may conclude an unrhymed four-line stanza with such verses. 11 If we were to emend v. 20 to fortsu Choin Chaulainn we should at least have a pentasyllabic line with regular lenition of Choin. On the other hand, verses irregular in cadence and in syllable count are common in the 'rhetorics': cf. the oft-quoted lines from Fled Bricrend, Bráo mara / bara bledmaill / blog dergthened / tond mairnech mathrúamdae . . . (LU 8681-4), or from Serlige Con Culainn § 40 Fég, a Loig, dar th'ēis: / oc coistecht frit / filet mná

¹⁰Cf. W. Stokes, Saltair na Rann (Oxford 1883) 71 line 4864: tabernacuil (in deibide rhyme), which counts as 3 syllables.

¹¹Cf. C. Watkins, 'Indo-European metrics and archaic Irish verse', Celtica 6 (1963) 194–249, p. 230; and M. O Daly, Cath Maige Mucrama (Ir. Texts Soc. L, [London] 1975) 84 § 16 and p. 143 n. 685.

Linking alliteration is the rule in the poem, but there are exceptions and licences. The link is unstressed in vv. 13-4 and in the parallel vv. 4-5 (with -f: f-). Here, however, it could be dispensed with and may not be significant. The link is maintained regularly in vv. 8-16; it fails in vv. 16-17 and resumes in vv. 18-21. $D\bar{a}l$ in v. 22 may link with preceding unstressed -di. Verses 24-5 are linked by the parallelism of fri-clauses.

Can breaks in alliterative linking indicate structural segmentation? By marking the breaks we get Segment I, vv. 1-3; Segment II, vv. 4-7; Segment III, vv. 8-16; and Segment IV, vv. 17-32.

Verse 4 could indeed be the beginning of a new narrative phase. If v. 8 is another such beginning then we can more easily follow the change from second to third person in v. 8. Is v. 17 the middle of a period or the beginning of one? On balance the alliterative break appears to offer the best clue to context here.

As the metre of the poem is an early favourite in the Laws and elsewhere, so the language is clearly very old; witness the verbal forms with infixed pronoun in tmesis, $cotut \cdot c\bar{e}illfetar$, $fortat \cdot bibsatar$ (vv. 4-5), with suffixed pronoun in $b\bar{a}igthi$ (v. 28); also the reduplicated futures bibsatar (v. 5) $bi\bar{e}d$ (v. 6); $bi\bar{e}t$ (v. 27), tithis (v. 8), cichis (v. 13), sifis (v. 15), cichit (v. 27). Further, pre-tonic to- for later do- in toaircechain (Eg. 88, prose); the form tu (v. 10: R, E²) of the possessive pronoun 'thy'; -au- for later -u- as in chaurith (v. 4), Chaulainn (v. 20).

Other old features are independent datives such as fethul (v. 9), fernaib (v. 16), ildamaib (v. 18), the use of the name Sétanta (v. 7), the strange word belend (vv. 25-6), and the phrase dāl de dālaib dedarbe reminiscent of Conailla Medb Michuru (v. 22). Compare also, difedat (v. 18), ro·scāich do in the prose and last but not least, the form sceu (v. 28) which may be an old dative.

All the facts we have adduced up to this make it clear that the poem is archaic. We may expect it to have been composed orally in the seventh or perhaps even in the sixth century. An edition of the poem should no doubt reflect this archaism by utilising the early forms offered by one or more of the manuscripts. (Even in the prose, Eg. 88 spells Toaircechain where the other manuscripts have pre-tonic do-!) Accordingly, we favour spellings such as Sétanti, brágit which do not show a glide to -i after neutral consonance, since MSS R and E² do offer support for this (v. 11). The poem shows a sensitiveness to initial mutations in v. 4 chaurith (after cotut-) and v. 13 mbēlatu (after neuter noun). Accordingly we

¹²M. Dillon, Serglige Con Culainn (Dublin 1953, repr. 1975) 24.

take account of the less perspicuous manuscript lenition after fort in v. 20 (MSS R, E^2).

Our policy is to draw the text as far as possible from the four primary witnesses, the manuscripts of Version I. Where these appear to fail or falter, recourse is had particularly to LU, collated where necessary with the other manuscripts of Version II. Manuscript forms are retained, we do not emend, and we limit our modifications to the removal of some late scribal forms. The shape of the resulting text was often found to correspond rather closely to LU (compare, for example, LU 10356-8 with vv. 1-3). In regard to the endings of verbs simple and compound in final position, manuscript usage is rather inconsistent. We have thought it best to reflect this usage rather than systematise it.

As the poem is an *imbas for ossndi* 'a vision which illumines', present and future may tend to merge; as it is a vision addressed to a companion, second person and third person may tend to merge.

Verba Scáthaige: FIVE MANUSCRIPTS

I. Rawl. B 512, f. 118 b 2 (R): Incipiunt verbai scathaige fri coinchuloc scarad doib isnarandaib thair oroscaich do choincul- lán foglaimb in milti lascathaich Do airchechain scathach do iarum an ni aridmbiad conepert fris tria imbas forossndi dia foirciund. Imbe err hængaile arutossa ollgabad huathad fri héit nimlibir .i. tain bo .c. Cotut chaurith ceillfetar fortat bragait bibsatar. bied do chalcc culbeimmen. cruo fri sruth setantæ nomen proprium do choincul-. tithis fithog fæburamnuss. fethul feulai ferchlessaib. ferb tu breig braithfigth-. bragitt du tuath tithsitir. trean cithoch coicdigis cichis do buar mbealtau. ba hoin fri slog sir dochre. sifis do fuil fland tenman fernaib ilib idlochtaib cuan dia lilis loscannaib lin difedat ildamaib ilar fule firfitir fort choinchaulaind ceisfe alag nen chride al de dalaib dedarbe de dirn bro dirc brisfith- bruthaich fri toind trechtide frisinbelend mbandernach belend dichet chlessamnach cichit biet banchuriu ba grithi medba sceu ailella aruthossa otharligi hucht fri hechta hirgairgge. atchiu fir feith finn bennach hoéi fria dond cuailnge ard baurach. Finit.

II. Eg. 1782, f. 19 b 1 (E²): Incipiunt uerbai scathaige fri coinchuluinn oc scarad doib iss narannuib thair oroscaith do choinchuluinn lánfogluimm in milti lascathaig. Doaircechain scath- dó iarum anní aritmbíad. connepert friss triaimbas forossndi diafoirciunn . . . IMbe err haengaili arutossao ollgabad. huathad fri heit nimlibir .i. táin bó .c. cotut chaurith ceillfetar fortatbráguit bibsatar. bied dochalg cúlbéimmen. cruoch frisruth sétantæ. nomen proprium dochoinchul-. tithis fithóg fáebur amnus fethul feúlai ferchlessaib. ferb tu breig braithfigth-bragit duthuaith tithsitir. treancithoch coigdigis. cichis dobuar mbeltu. bahóin fri slóg sirdochrui. sifis dofuil flann tenman fernaib ilib idlochtaib. cúan dialilis loscannaib. lín dofedad ildámaib. ilar fuili firfitir. fort choinchaulainn ceisfe alag nencride. al de dalaib dedarbe. dedirn brodirc brisfith-. bruthaich fri toind trechtide frisinmbelend mbandernnach.

belend dichet clessamnach. cichit biet banchuire. baigthi medb sceu ailella aruthosa othurligi. hucht fri hechta hirgaircce. atchiu fir feith finnbennach haí. fri donn cualngne ardbúrach.

III. Eg. 88, f. 11 a 2 (E¹): INdsip-uerba scathaige fri con iarog scar- frie is na rannaib thair ó ro scaith do lan foghlaim in mil- la scath-. Toaircechain do iar- scath- ani aridmbiadh conepert fris tria iumbus forosn- diaforciunt IMbe herr aongaile. ara tosa oll gab-. uathfriheid nimlibir .i. t.b.c. Cotut caraith ceillfethar fordadbraghaid bibsathar. bieth do calg cul bemen n cruoch frisruth sedantai (no- do coin c-) tithis fithoch faoburamhnas fethal feula fer (no fed) cles- ferb do breig braitfith-. braighit do tuath tithsith-. tren cithach coicdighis. cichis do buar mbeulatai. ba hoin fri sluagh sirechtach sir dochr- sir deimin sir duba silfis do fuil flann tenmen fernaibh ilib ildlochtaib cuan dia lilis loscan nuib. lin do fedhad il damaib il ur fuil- fir fithir. fort coin cul- cen colinn ceis fealaigh nen chridhe dal de dalaib de dairbe. de dirn bro dircc brisfith-. bruthaich fri toinn trechdaichi frisin mbelend mbandernach. belend diched clesamn- ciched bied bancuire baigthi medb sceo ailt-. arathosa otharlighe hucht fri hechta irgaircce. adciu firfeith finnbendach hai fri dont cuail- aurtburach.

IV. 23 N 10, p. 68 (N): INcipiunt uerba scath- fri concl- oc scar- doib isna rannuib tair. ro scaith do choincul- lanfogluim in milti la scaithaigh do aurchechain scath- do iar- indni aradmbiad coneipirt fris tria imbass forossna IMbe eir hengaile aratossa ollgabud huatha friheit nimlebair .i. tain bo cuailg- Cotat curaith ciallfaithir fortat braigait bibsatur bied do chailce culbeimnech cruoch fri srut setanta .i. proprium nodo choincl-. Tithis fithog foibharamnus fethal feula fedchlessaib fearba dobreig mbraitfiter braighit dithuaith tithsithir tren cithach coictigis cichis do buar mbelata bahoín frislog sirdochræ silfis de fhuil fland tedman fernaib ilib idlochtaib cuan dialilis loscandaib lin dofedat ildamaib ilar fuili firfith- for coincul- cen colainn Ceisfe alag nenchride al de dalaib dedairbe didirn brodirce brisfithir bruthaich fri toinn trechtaide frissin mbelend mbandernech belenn di chet clesamnach cichet biet banchuire baiti medb sceo aill-ai aratosa ollgabadh otharlighi. ucht fri hechtga irgairgi atchiu firfeith finnbennach æi fri donn cuailngi artburach. 7ca

V. LU 125 b, from Tochmarc Emere:

line 10350

Fo chen a scíth búagnigi buadaig bágaig urbágaig úarcraidi taiscea. corraib fortacht fort. nibá fortacht can recni. nibá recni can decni. Imbé eirr óengaile. Arutósa ollgabud.

úathud fri eit n-imlebair.
óic Cruachna rascerasu.
Cotut curaid cellfetar.
fortut brágit bibsatar.
bied do cholg culbémend
cruoch fri sruth Setinti
sennait rout ruadtressa.
rinnib riscloífet cnámreda.
clárad im búaib bendcrudi.
tithis fidoch fáeburamnas.

fethail feola fedclessaib. ferba do Breg bratfatar. brágti do thúath tithsitir. trean cithoch cóictigis. cichis do búar mbealtu. Ba hoín ar slóg sírrechtach sírdochair sirdemin. sírguba. sifis do fuil flandtedmand. fernaib ilib ildlochtaib armaib scéo mnáib dergdercaib. cródergfa arm armeth mellgléo. fiaich fotha firfitir. arath croich crosfait ir. recur serech sárlatir. gáetar lunni loscudi. Lin difedat ildamaib. ilar fule firfitir ar Coin Culaind cencolind. césfe álag n-encraide. ana doláth tetharbae. dideirn bródeirg brufitir. Brón ar cách dot bráthbreislig. día taib Maigi Murthemni. día mbia cluchi tregaigi. bruthaig fri toind tréchtidi. frisin mbelend mbandernach. belaig úathaig ochtclesaig. belend di chet clesamna cichit biet banchuri. bagthi Medb scéo Ailella. Árutossa otharlige. ucht fri echtga irgairce.

atchíu firfid Findbennach fri Dond Cúalnge ardburach. Cuin dorega. cuin doriidfea. ros do gaili gnáthgéri. benfait bémend íarlebra meic Roich rúadrindig ardurgna. naiscseta n-ollach n-óenellach. lochta do tham doscura cetha. Erig do loch lúrechda. cuchtach écsi ilcomraic selaig tanaig trubud cu tír nUlad ógérig. do mnáib Ulad oentomaim. do scíath cnedach comromach do gai túagach tairbertach trénturig. do cholg dét dathbuthir a ndondálaib. rasia th'ainm Albanchu. ciach do gair gemadaig. Aifi Úathach iachtfaitit. alaind sethnach sóermilfa. etrocht soebrocht suanaigfe. teora bliadna ar tréntrichait. bat neirt ar do lochnamtib. tricha bliad na bagimse gus do gaili gnáthgeri. o sin immach ni fullimsea. do saegul ni indisimsea. eter búadaib banchuri. ge garid gé étgene dit álaib fo chen. fo cen a scit .b.

line 10430

RECONSTRUCTED TEXT AND TRANSLATION

Incipiunt verba Scāthaige fri Coin C(h)ulainn oc scarad doib isnaib rannaib thair ō rō·scāich do Choin Chulainn lānfoglaimm in mīlti la Scāthaich. To·airchechain Scāthach dō iarum anī arid·mbiad, con·epert fris tri imbas for·ossndi dia foirciunn:

'(Here) begin the words of Scáthach to Cú Chulainn as they were separating in the eastern parts when Cú Chulainn had completed the full course of military training with Scáthach. Then Scáthach foretold to him what was in store for him and told him of his end through Vision which illumines':

- 1 A mbe[ë] eirr ōengaile, arut·ossa ollgābud, uathad fri h-éit n-imlebair.¹ Cotut- chaurith ·cēillfetar,
- 5 fortat- brágit ·bibsatar, biëd do chalcc cúlbéimmen cruäch fri sruth Sétanti.² Tithis fidach fáeburamnus fethul feulae, ferchlessaib.
- 10 Ferba do breig braitfiter, brāgit do- thuaith ·tithsitir;³ triänchithach coicdigis, cichis do buar mbēlatu. Ba h-oín fri slóg sīrdochrai.
- 15 Sifis de fuil flanntenmen fernaib ilib ildlochtaib.

When thou art a peerless champion, great extremity awaits thee, alone against the vast herd.
Warriors will be set aside against thee, necks will be broken by thee,

- thy sword will strike strokes to the rear against Sétante's gory stream.

 Hard-bladed, he will cut/conjure the trees by the sign of slaughters, by manly feats.
- 10 Cows will be carried off from thy hill, captives will be forfeited by thy people; harried by the troop for a fortnight, thy cattle will walk the passes. Thou wilt be alone in great hardship against the host.
- 15 Scarlet gushes of blood will strike upon many variously-cloven shields.

¹ The four MSS add the gloss ii. tain bo cuailnge, abbreviated. LU also.

²The four MSS add nomen proprium do Choin Chulainn, abbreviated. LU also.

 $^{^3}$ None of the five MSS has the expected ending - er.

Cuan dia lilis loscannaib
lín di·fedat ildamaib.
Ilar fuile firfitir⁴
20 fort Choin Chaulainn.⁵
Cēsfe ālad n-ainchridi
dāl de dālaib dedarbe.
Dedirn brōdirc brisfither,
bruthaich fri toind tregtaigthi,
25 frisin mbelend mbandernach,
belend di chēt clessamnach.
Cichit, biët banchuriu.

Arut·ossa otharlige
30 ucht fri h-ēchta airgairce.
At·chīu fīrfēth Findbennach
(Aī) fri Donn Cuailnge ardbūrach.

Bāigthi Medb sceu Ailella.

A band of parasites that thou wilt adhere to will bring away many people and oxen.

Many wounds will be inflicted

20 upon thee, Cú Chulainn.

You will suffer a wound of revenge (in) one of the encounters at the final breach.

From your red-pronged weapon there will be defeat, (men) pierced against the furious wave,

25 against the whale equipped for exploits, a whale performing feats with blows.

Women will wail and beat (hands) in their troop, Medb and Ailill boast of it.

A sick-bed awaits thee

30 in face of slaughters of great ferocity. I see the very glossy Finnbennach (of Áe) in great rage against Donn Cuailnge.

⁴None of the five MSS has the expected ending - er.

 $^{^5\}mathrm{MSS}$ $\mathrm{E}^1,~\mathrm{N}$ and LU add cen $\mathit{colinn}.$

NOTES

- 1. A mbeë: Aⁿ 'when', a conjunctive use of the neuter article + bee, 2 sg. subj. of the substantive verb. This form is preferred here to be, fut. 2 sg. of the copula, on account of the syllable count: see p. 195 above. For examples of the substantive verb in this usage, cf. Amra Coluim Cille §§ 24-7, 74-5, 78-81, etc. (W. Stokes, Revue Celtique 20 (1899) passim).
- 2. Arut ossa: The Dictionary of the Irish language [DIL] takes up this verse under ar utaing, ar tá and ar ossa. Ar utaing 'build up, refreshes' can hardly apply semantically. Ar tá 'is in store for' is quite plausible, particularly since it resumes arid mbiad of the preceding prose. Against it is the fact that all manuscripts have -o- in the third syllable. Ar ossa 'awaits' fits very well and the fidelity of the Rec. I manuscripts to a form of ar ossa enhances one's estimate of them.
- The gloss .i. tain bo .c. [sic R, E²] helps to establish the reference of éit 'herd'.
- 4-5. The verb cotut ceillfetar is fut. 3 pl. of the verb con ciallathar/con ceil 'spares, protects, withholds etc.' DIL (s.v. con-ceil) translates the LU version cotut curaid cellfetar 'warriors will spare (surround?) thee'. But there appears to be no precise context for these suggestions to fit into. H. Wagner renders LU 4 and 5 (fortut brágit bibsatar) as follows: 'Kämpen werden von dir beschützt (?) werden, Nacken werden von dir gerbrochen werden' (Indogermanisch und Keltisch (ed. K. H. Schmidt, Weisbaden 1977) 229). With the rendering of v. 5 we are in agreement; the proposition in v. 4 that Cú Chulainn will defend champions is unsupported and enigmatic. A rendering of any single verse of Verba Scathaige should make sense in the context of the poem and harmonise with the facts of the Táin. Our own translation of v. 4 rests upon the assured meaning 'to spare, set aside' for con ciallathar, prominent also in Mod. Ir. coiglim, caiglim. The handpicking and cozening of champions to go forward against Cú Chulainn is an indispensable part of the plot. Verse 5 would appear to develop the matter in an understandable way. More than this cannot be expected, because a visionary poem need not be logically developed; and in any case the logic of a sixth- to seventh-century heroic rosc must be very remote from us.
- 6. Biëd: fut. 3 sg. of benaid. Cf. GOI, 406 § 654. Cúlbéimmen: sic E², R, (LU). In view of brágit in v. 5 we consider the anatomical meaning 'back, back of head, neck, etc.' improbable for cúl- here. The meaning we assign allows for development of theme; it agrees with the description of Cú Chulainn's battle frenzy in the context of enemy penetration of Ulster: Ní aithgnéad cóemu ná cairdiu. Cumma no slaided ríam 7 íarma. Is de sin doratsat Fir Ól nÉcmacht in ríastartha do anmaim do Choin Chulaind 'He would recognize neither comrades nor friends. He would attack alike before him and behind him. Hence the men of Connacht named Cú Chulainn the Distorted One'. (C. O'Rahilly (ed.), Táin Bó Cúailnge, recension I (Dublin 1976) 51 lines 1655-7, trans., p. 171).
- 7. Cruach: Cf. E¹, E², N cruoch (LU cruoch, N¹, Harl. 5280 cruach . . .); R cruo may stand for cró, gen. of crú 'gore', which would also give a good reading. Setanti: E¹ has -ai; The introduction of the hero's other name signals the change from second to third person in tithis of v. 8. Cf.

- At comsa mac Findchoīme frim (R. Thurneysen, Scéla Mucce Meic Dathó (Dublin 1935, 1969) 14.11), with fusion of 2/3 person.
- 8. Tithis: fut. 3 sg. of tongaid: also of tennid 'cuts'. Fidach: MSS fithog, fithoch (LU fidoch): Cú Chulainn's first effort to hold Medb's army at bay was with an inscribed circular withe which diverted the invaders and made them clear a path through the wood (C. O'Rahilly, Táin Rec. I, lines 225-6, 259-71); his second was with a forked branch (ibid., gabail lines 331, 347; crand 370) upon the points of which he impaled four enemy heads. Then, at Mag Mucceda, Cú Chulainn cut down and inscribed an oak-tree (ibid., lines 827-31) upon which the enemy shattered thirty chariots. The wooden obstacles were invested with magical prohibitions and in this sense the verb tongaid 'swears, adjures' is appropriate here in v. 8. Note also in the Táin 'rhetorics' (LU 5486): For-toing glaiss, boccit cuillte, ār silestar i rrichtu 'He conjures the stream, woods move, slaughter will be done at his coming' which corresponds with the drift of vv. 8-9 here. In this vein also, Cú Chulainn calls upon the river Cronn to rise against the enemy (LU 5512-20).
- 9. Fethal is a sacred object upon which an oath might be sworn. Feulae is gen. pl. of feoil 'flesh'; the connotation of slaughter is present in many of its compounds such as feoil-chombach, feoilfhogail. These associations are implied in the etymologies fuil (*uoli, feoil (*ue-uoli. Ferchlessaib: E¹ reads fer no fed cles- which implies knowledge of another version; N and LU also have fedchlessaib; if this is for fid- and refers to acrobatic tricks with a spearshaft it could also be acceptable. In his Gundestrup Cauldron, Garrett S. Olmsted renders vv. 8-9: tithis fithog foibaramnus / fethal feula fedchlessaib 'Keenly pointed, flesh adorned / timber will attest to woodfeats' (Collection Latomus 162 (Brussels 1979) 229-38). Here foibaramnus is taken as an epithet of timber rather than of the champion: but the notion of 'sharpness' resides in foibar here and amnas does not seem to be used like gér of material sharpness. Other difficulties are that 'adornment of flesh' seems hardly plausible for fethal feula and that a personal subject is certainly preferable for tongaid.
- 10. The place-name Brega assumed by the editors of LU can hardly be right here. The possessive adj. do could hardly apply, as Cú Chulainn has no particular responsibility for this area, whether it be defined (with Cath Mhuighe Léana (ed. Eugene O'Curry, Dublin 1855) 80) as lying south of the Boyne to the river Rige on the border with Kildare, or (with Annals of Ulster I (ed. W. M. Hennessy, Dublin 1887) 442) as north of it to Belach Dúin (Castlekieran, north-west of Kells) and Cassán (Annagassan, s.e. of Castlebellingham in Louth. Cf. E. Hogan, Onomasticon Goedelicum (Dublin 1910) s.v.). On the other hand, when Medb penetrated northwards into Cuib and the pursuing Cú Chulainn came upon Buide and Ailill's men with the bull and heifers: Can tucsaid a folad? 'Whence have you brought the cattle?' asks Cú Chulainn. Ón tsléib ucut 'from yonder mountain' is the answer (Táin Rec. I, lines 1495-6). Hill and ford feature prominently in the narrative: Cach áth 7 cach dingnai ocár fíu, is Áth 7 Dindgna Medba a ainm 'Every ford and every hill by which [Medb] spent the night is named Ath Medba and Dindgna Medba' (Táin Rec. I, lines 1535-6). Similarly, the next reference to the bull and other cattle includes mention of the hill of Forgemen (Táin Rec. I, line 1540). An obvious measure in

war-time, besides, would be to drive cattle into the hills to avoid capture by the enemy. All this provides the background for our interpretation 'Cows will be carried off from thy hill'. Bri 'hill' is also explained as 'plain', a development which O'Mulconry's gloss (i. mag inna biat slebe ardae, Wh. Stokes, ed., Archiv für celtische Lexikographie I (Halle 1900) 232-324, p. 241 § 154) at least renders plausible. A reference to Mag Muirtheimne in our poem would be attractive. But the meaning 'plain' appears doubtful and, in view of the relevance of 'hill', dispensable. While LU reads breg, the Rec. I manuscripts have breig preceded by tu (R, E2), do (E1, N). N alone has the pl. fearba, the other three manuscripts have ferb with sg. verb to match. The LU reading Ferba do breg bratfatar 'the cows of thy hills will be carried off' is quite acceptable. Do breig, however, is capable of being quite specific, for the goal of the Foray was the mountain range of Cúailnge in the Carlingford peninsula. Medb's army divided up at Findabair Chúailnge to seek the bull (Táin Rec. I, line 131), and the bull with fifteen heifers was seized at Slieve Gullion (Táin Rec. I, line 1491). This area, to the north and east of Delga, was under Cú Chulainn's protection. braitfiter: note the palatalised stem brait- (braith-) in the Rec. I forms as against LU bratfatar. The stem braith- in R and E2, if distinct, is relatively late and its meaning 'betray, disclose, point out' less apt.

- 11. We assume tmesis with do-toing in the sense 'forfeits' and thuaith of E² and N. The N reading (with di) suggests the earlier *di-tong-.
- 12. triänchithach: Triän we take to refer to the third of Medb's army which she led north, as described below; cf. Luid Medb co triun in tsloig lé hi Cuib 'Medb went with a third of her army to Cuib' (Táin Rec. I, line 1488). Trian can also mean more generally a 'band, company' so that there is no difficulty in applying the word here. For the meaning of cithach, cf. cith 'trial, hardship, battle' and its collocation with cath as in cith cath 7 orn orgain (K. Meyer, 'Sanas Cormaic', Anecdota from Irish Manuscripts IV (Halle 1912) 25 § 295). Verse 12 appears to refer to the same episode as in v. 10 (Táin Rec. I, line 1487, Fagbáil in Tairb 'The Finding of the Bull'; cf. Heldensage, 161-2 § 40). Medb with one third of her army marches along the Slige Midluachra to Cuib for the bull and then north to ravage Dún Sobairche. Cú Chulainn follows to Cuib and seven martial exploits of his are enumerated (Táin Rec. I, lines 1523-6). Further action follows in his home country, Mag Muirtheimne, to the defence of which he returns. When Medb had spent a fortnight ravaging the north she returned with fifty women captives from Dún Sobairche to join up with Ailill and the men in charge of the bull (Táin Rec. I, lines 1537-8). This background appears to emerge in the following verses.
- 13. Cichis: fut. 3 sg. of cingid.
- 14. sirdochrai: Hardly sír- 'continuous' + do- neg. prefix + the gen. sg. of cró 'troop, (line of) battle': dochró 'a difficult, unbeatable troop'? The alternative here is to emend with dograe 'dejection, etc.' or with doccrae 'hardship, etc.' construing 'alone in great hardship etc. against the host'. An entirely satisfying solution is hard to obtain. LU has an easier reading with sirrechtach, sirdochair 'in sorrow and constant misery'.
- 15. Here we construe impersonally: flanntenmen as object acc. pl. of the impersonal verb sifis (: seinnid), literally 'it will strike', in a partitive construction with do = di 'of (blood)'. The alternative reading Sifis do

- fuil . . . 'your blood will strike etc.' does not make sufficient sense. N reads de.
- 17. We take loscannaib as dat. of apposition to cuan; cf. . . . huli láechaib ocus cléirchib 'all, laymen and clerics' (GOI, 160 § 251.2). lilis: fut. 2 sg. conj. of lenaid.
- 18. di-fedat: the present tense with fut. meaning is found in all stages of Irish. ildamaib is a prepositionless dat. of accompaniment.
- 19. The stem fir- is Mid. Ir. for fer-.
- 20. This form of the verse is found in R and E² and it appears to be the older. It reflects a tension between second and third persons as in v. 8. It is a mixture of two constructions. Vocative A Chú Chulainn is fused with a construction in third person, for Coin Culainn. An analogical case is the fusion of voc. and nom. in hypocoristic forms like Mo Lua chráibach with lenited adj. (GOI, 143 § 232.3). N has the simpler reading for Coin Culainn. . . .
- 21. Ālad n-ainchridi (nen- MSS): The word is no doubt ainchride 'wrong, enmity; revenge'. This appears to be a passing allusion to Cú Chulainn's death, a deed inspired by the motive of revenge, as described in Brislech Mór Muirtheimne, LL 121b. Verses 23-6 appear to resume the Táin story of his defence of Mag Muirtheimne and its environs against Ailill and Medb; of vy 28-9
- 22. This verse recalls the conclusion of Conailla Medb Michuru: Hulaith iar sirgubu / iarnaraib ág de dalib detharbe 'The Ulaid having long mourned, after conflict of nobles arising from disputes at the final breach' (ZCP 8 (1912) 307). Only E¹ reads dal, the other manuscripts open the verse with al. Dal may be argued to alliterate with preceding unstressed -de. If for al we read ail 'misfortune' this would also give good sense. The actual manuscript reading is preferred to an emendation. Dedarbe we analyse ded-'final' (from dead 'end') + airbe, aurba 'breach'.
- 23. The manuscripts have brisfith- R, E¹, E²; brisfithir N. LU has dideirn (.i. dot gráin) bródeirg brufitir. The following alternative reading of vv. 23-8 has been considered and rejected: 'The valiant one (dedirn) with the redpronged (spear) will be defeated, pierced (tregtaigthe) against the furious wave. To the whale equipped for exploits, a whale performing feats with blows, a troop of women will cry out and they will fight'. An apparent advantage of this reading is that the theme of Cú Chulainn's defeat mentioned in v. 23 is developed in v. 24 (though not in vv. 25-6). A drawback is the obscurity of bruthaich fri toind 'against the furious wave', since in Fled Bricrenn § 52 the hero himself is referred to in a 'rhetoric' by the figure tond mairneach mathrúamdae 'destructive wave, splendid as a bear' (G. Henderson, Fled Bricrend (Ir. Texts Soc. II, London 1899) 64.12). Hence tond is an epithet of Cú Chulainn. The remainder of this reading visualizes a feat-performing champion to whom the women appeal for help, which does not blend with his defeat in v. 23. A variation of this reading is to make bruthaich of v. 24 refer to Cú Chulainn's enemies: 'the furious ones pierced against (by?) the wave, against the whale', etc. This is obscure and unsatisfactory; bruthach is best referred to Cú Chulainn. The LU version of v. 23 with brufitir looks like a refurbishing of the verse to bring out a meaning such as we propose. The opening word, dideirn the Interpolator explains by dot gráin, as if it stood for dit iurn 'with your iron weapon'.

- This yields good sense for the LU verse dideirn bródeirg brufitir 'they will be crushed by your red-pronged weapon'; it is followed by Brón ar cách dot bráthbreislig / dí(a) taib Maigi Murthemni 'everyone will grieve for your terrible defeat against the Plain of Muirthemne'. There is a lack of continuity in the treatment here.
- 24. In YBL Táin Cú Roi considers it unbecoming a champion to attack the wounded and weakened Cú Chulainn (. . . ind fir tregdaigthi crēchtaigthi, John Strachan and J. G. O'Keeffe, Táin Bó Cúailnge from the Yellow Book of Lecan (Dublin 1912) 102 line 291). In v. 24 of our poem manuscript forms such as trecht (a) ide R, N, E², trechdaichi E¹ may represent a fusion of these closely associated terms. Tregtaigthe is a participial formation from tregtaid 'pierces' which in turn is a later simplex of tris-gata. The regular participle of tregtaid is tregtae; this would have been pre-syncope tregatae (cf. trecatim 'I pierce', Thesaurus Palaeohibernicus II (1903; Dublin 1975) 42.21). Hence tregatae may have been the original form here. toind: If this is being used in a concrete sense the reference would be to Loch Lámraith of the Plain of Muirthemne rather than to the sea (LL 14040: Brislech Mór Maige Murthemni).
- 25. belend: I take this to be from Lat. balena 'whale'; cf. Fled Bricrenn § 52 from the 'rhetoric' on Cú Chulainn (LU 8682) bara bledmaill 'fury of the sea monster'. bandernach is analysed band- 'exploit', -ernach 'iron implement'. For the formation cf. GOI, 220 § 346.
- 26. Cf. LL 10285 in cur cētach clessamnach cathbuadach claidebderg Cú Chulaind 'the smiting, feat-performing, triumphant, red-sworded Cú Chulainn'. Cētach, from cét 'blow' has the same force as di chēt in v. 26. G. S. Olmsted reads vv. 25-6: frissin mbelend mbandernnach/belend dichet clesamnach 'Against the bare-handed warrior/can go a warrior performing feats' (Gundestrup, 229-***; Études Celtiques 15 (1976-7) 537). *Bāndernnach from bān-'bare' + dernnach (*dernanach looks somewhat forced and also out of context. Dichet 'can go' seems out of place in a vision; on the formal side, whereas di chēt provides the required alliteration with clesamnach, dichet does not. The alliteration of unstressed elements to link successive verses is a different matter.
- 27. cichit: fut. 3 pl. of ciïd 'weeps, cries etc.' biët: fut. 3 pl. of benaid 'strikes' (cf. GOI,406 § 654). E¹ has bied. LU cichit biet banchuri 'troops of women will weep and beat (their hands)' offers an acceptable version of this verse. Three of the Rec. I manuscripts have banchuire; the remaining one, R, reads banchuriu, which we adopt. Banchuire can refer either to Brislech Mór Maige Muirtheimne (LL 119b), cf. It brónaig banchuiri, with reference to groups of women lamenting Cú Chulainn's impending death, a recurrent motif here. It may refer to women captured, such as the fifty taken by Medb at Dunseverick (LU 70b). We are unable to find in these verses any necessary reference to the Aided Fraích episode of Táin Rec. I (LU 63b; cf. Olmsted, Gundestrup, 197-9; Études Celtiques 15 (1976-7) 538-41).
- 28. $b\bar{a}igthi: b\bar{a}igid + i$ 'boasts of it'. $sceu(R, E^2)$ may be an old dat. form; it is followed by the genitive.
- 30. ēchtga of N and LU does not seem to make good sense here.
- 31. fīrfēth: MSS: Rec. I fir feith (R, E²), firfeith (E¹, N); Rec. II firfid (LU, D iv 2, N¹, Fermoy), firfe (Harl.). The Rec. II reading firfid would seem

to provide the basis for the interpretation of K. Meyer (Revue Celtique 11 (1890) 457) and G. S. Olmsted Gundestrup, 230, 'I see (that) Finnbennach (of Ai) will fight against the loud-bellowing Donn C.' The main objection to it is that the function of Atchiu is to introduce a spectacle, not a declaration of intent. DIL F 102-3: 4 and 5 féth appear to be the same word. The meanings given are 'smoothness, finish, polish?' (4) and 'sleekness, a healthy or flourishing appearance, and in wider sense, looks, appearance (of health or the reverse)' (5). Under (4) an example of féth as an adj. in the meaning 'smooth, finished?' is offered; under (5) the gloss feth is slemain (from Wh. Stokes, ed., 'O'Davoren's Glossary', Archiv für celtische Lexikographie II (Halle 1904) 371 § 1004). Transitional examples of feth (i.e. as noun or as adj.?) are: ba feth in gres dédenach. . . ba feth in tsnas dédenach (DIL 103.5-7). There appears, then, to be an adequate basis for the reading fīrfēth 'very smooth, sleek, polished etc.', which we adopt in the text.

32. This final verse lacks linking and structural alliteration; it has at least one stress too many and is run on from the previous verse in an exceptional manner. We suggest on p. 194 that the opening word Ai did not originally belong to it.

P. L. HENRY

University College, Galway